

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.405.001

What is your Name: tony63

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

I object to both the proposed travellers site and industrial units on the following grounds.

Policy B: Planning for traveller sites.

e) provide for proper consideration of the effect of local environmental quality (such as noise and air quality) on the health and well-being of any travellers that may locate there or on others as a result of new development

f) avoid placing undue pressure on local infrastructure and services.

where this site is being proposed, we have already seen an increase close by with the existing builds that have gone up, , Aldi, home Bargains Asda ,Tim Horton's etc, , and now the new builds . Tesla dealership and new officers for sainsburys and

Argos have just been built. This proposal will only add more undue pressure onto our neighbourhoods road structure and deterioration of residents health.. and further pressure on the complete area.

All these plans will do is contribute to an increase in the detrimental effect on the amount of traffic chaos around the local roads [REDACTED] have to breath in the fumes daily.

you are introducing a clean air zone in the city centre, so does that make it acceptable to push everything onto out of town areas like this , proposing a industrial site which houses a travellers site in this area will lead to even higher pollution, and where is the duty of care towards local residents and travellers in regard to their health and wellbeing, as it will all be affected by the pollutants being thrown out with increased traffic.

what i cannot understand is why you also want to house another travellers site in our Area, which is just 1.6 mile from the current Holbrook travellers site.

Sheffield is a big enough city, there will be plenty of other sites more suited for industrial and travellers sites use and would mean then that then our whole city is contributing to these sites rather than as seems, just wanting to put them in one area

, also you , our council, must like wasting money, not only have we had the fiasco of the container park at fargate having had thousands of pound of residents money thrown at it, only to now scrap it,, but now the proposed site would cost millions to develop with the extra road network and having to over come the large gas mains running through the field and other issues , when other sites would be more cost effective.

lastly having a industrial site and travellers site [REDACTED] would ultimately lead to de valuing the price of our property, which we have worked hard to get, so would you the council be willing to compensate us for this,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

please reconsider these proposals as they are not what the local residents and community want to be having .

thank you

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

local plan needs to consider other more appropriate sites , you need to be concentrating on dealing with the already existing problems of traffic and pollution and inferior road chaos around our area before even considering any further developments

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A