

## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

### Respondent details

**Comment ID number:** PDSP.359.001

**What is your Name:** RichardL

**If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:**

N/A

**If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:**

N/A

### Document

**Which document to you wish to make a representation on:**

Annex A: Site Allocations

**Which section of the document is your representation on:**

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

**Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:**

SES03

### Representation

**Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant:** Yes

**Do you consider the Local Plan is sound:** No

**Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate:** Yes

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:**

I believe that plan reference S2S03 is to develop an area of agricultural land to the east of the B6053, south of A57 and west of the Springwell / Sevenairs estate, into an industrial estate an traveller's (gypsy) camp. I wish to object to this in the strongest possible terms, as any further development of that area would be disastrous and further compound the issues of traffic congestion and delays. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I have seen the development of Crystal Peaks, Drakehouse Retail Park, the Asda supermarket and a host of other businesses along Drakehouse Crescent. More recently has seen the extension of Drakehouse Retail Park and several fast food outlets, pubs, restaurants all of which generate 'footfall' at all times of day, making it virtually impossible to reach the main

road links into Sheffield and the M1. As the site would almost certainly utilise the B6053 as an entrance-exit, I feel very strongly that this would be the last straw and cause total gridlock! I would request that an urgent plan is actioned to address the existing traffic issues and to NOT exacerbate them by adding this development to an already congested area. There are plenty of more suitable locations for these sites around the city and we have probably one of the highest areas of 'brownfield' sites in the country, which would be ideal for developments such as these. I see no reason why you could justify developing this site, with the resulting impact on the local area and residents and I would most strongly urge you to reconsider other sites and scrap this proposal.

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:**

As I have set out in the previous section, I would strongly urge you to create an urgent plan to deal with the existing traffic issues AND find an alternative site for these two developments. We neither need, want, nor can cope with this industrial site and traveller site on this area, so please scrap the idea.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):**

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

I would like to hear the rationale and justification for this development and also join with other people objecting to ensure we are heard.