

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.345.001

What is your Name: Peter1?

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The site your have chosen is the highest point of beighton and so any building put up the we over look local residents & be seen from all over the surrounding areas. Beighton is a old pit village & the roads around it are not suitable for anymore industrial sites being built.

Crystal peaks has just expanded bringing more traffic, poppers has just opened bringing more traffic, Scarsdale is hughley popular & bringing more traffic. And beurger king is also being built at the moment which will bring even more traffic. Drake house shopping area has just be developed with a lot of new very large industrial units being built, need i go on.

