

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.343.001

What is your Name: penny71

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 2: Vision, Aims, and Objectives

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Not completed by respondent

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

There are some encouraging broad aims for environmental sustainability but these are often too vague to be enforceable or capable of monitoring over time. [REDACTED]
[REDACTED] the LWS lies inappropriately within the red line.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

The local plan needs to emphasise enhancement and protection of biodiversity and aim more closely to comply with national guidance plus conditions for maintaining

and enhancing SSSI's etc. Parkwood Springs LWS needs to be removed - there may be others in areas I know less well

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.343.002

What is your Name: penny71

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy BG1: Blue & Green Infrastructure

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Map 17 doesn't show the blue and green infrastructure. There needs to be more emphasis on linking blue and green spaces all over the city, and on enhancing biodiversity

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

As the Wildlife Trust proposes, plus extra emphasis on creating new green spaces or linking spaces., whether that be by decreasing concreting over of gardens and

drives, by green roofs, by all new buildings complying with green standards and planting, or by new buildings creating nesting spaces for birds, bats, otters etc

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.343.003

What is your Name: penny71

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 8: Development and Biodiversity

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

It is a huge relief that brownfield sites are to be developed rather than Green Bely, and that ancient woodland sites like Smithy Wood is more protected fro development. However some brownfield sites have become bio-diverse since allocation and need further protection. For example, the former Ski Village, where understory and trees have now grown up, further developments should take this increased wildlife-value habitat into consideration and any plans for development take this into account, allowing more corridors, too, for wildlife to cross the whole site.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Detailed plans to address this would need to consider corridors for wildlife between other parts of Parkwood Springs and the former ski village. This may also be true of other brownfield sites I am less familiar with. Having attended the Rotherham and Sheffield Wildlife Trust seminar on the local plan, and as a long-term member of the R and SWT, I support all their detailed recommendations for changes to the Local Plan.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A