

## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

### Respondent details

**Comment ID number:** PDSP.338.001

**What is your Name:** Paul Eastell

**If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:**

N/A

**If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:**

N/A

### Document

**Which document to you wish to make a representation on:**

Annex A: Site Allocations

**Which section of the document is your representation on:**

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

**Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:**

SES03

### Representation

**Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant:** Yes

**Do you consider the Local Plan is sound:** No

**Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate:** Yes

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:**

- ' - Why is the space considered as surplus to serving all open space functions - I disagree.
- The land does not have any more capacity to absorb anymore industrial /shopping or any other development as this whole area around has been eaten up by shopping and commercial activities.
- The area is now providing ecology and local nature recovery as Buzzards are now in proximity as well as foxes/badgers/Roe deer and small species.
- how is there surplus education capacity when this area of the south east has been developed with no new schools.

- How is it not a local green space - [REDACTED] and all of that area including Drakehouse which is now developed was used by the people in this area for dog walking and walking.
- it is becoming already a nightmare trying to get in and out of Beighton at certain times and this will add to the grid locked area that has become over industrialised and turning into a large Industrial estate surrounded by a tip/waste handling site, a dirty industrial estate to the other side where rubbish accumulates.

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:**

- ' - Why is the space considered as surplus to serving all open space functions - I disagree.
  - The land does not have any more capacity to absorb anymore industrial /shopping or any other development as this whole area around has been eaten up by shopping and commercial activities.
  - The area is now providing ecology and local nature recovery as Buzzards are now in proximity as well as foxes/badgers/Roe deer and small species.
  - how is there surplus education capacity when this area of the south east has been developed with no new schools.
- How is it not a local green space - [REDACTED] and all of that area including Drakehouse which is now developed was used by the people in this area for dog walking and walking.
- it is becoming already a nightmare trying to get in and out of Beighton at certain times and this will add to the grid locked area that has become over industrialised and turning into a large Industrial estate surrounded by a tip/waste handling site, a dirty industrial estate to the other side where rubbish accumulates.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):**

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

I believe the area that I have made representation on is totally unacceptable to the residents of this area and the appraisal is not sound.