Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.231.001

What is your Name: Georgia Milliard

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy NC7: Criteria for Assessing New Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The plan hasn't considered the already abysmal traffic situation in the surrounding area. Continuous development of commercial and industrial use, along with residential developments sees the roads within a mile circumference of the area of this proposed development at gridlock twice a day on working days and pretty much all day on Saturday.

2. The plan doesn't consider the addition to local pollution. Already in this area pollution levels are above those that SCC deem safe on a regular basis.

- 3. The plan doesn't consider the fact that there are high voltage overhead cables traversing the site with associated easements. These themselves could render the plan unfit for the suggested use
- 4. The plan doesn't consider that there is an underground high pressure gas mains pipe that traverses the site with associated easements. This could also render the plan unfit for the suggested use.
- 5. The plan doesn't consider the elevated nature of this site and that it is adjacent to residential dwellings. Any development for industrial or traveller use will be a blight on the outlook of these dwellings.
- 6. The plan doesn't consider the loss of green and open land used by many in the area for wellbeing and recreational use on a regular basis. As industrial, commercial and residential development has continued at pace in this part of Sheffield, the loss of green and open land has been just about catastrophic.
- 7. The plan doesn't consider the loss to local and migrating wildlife, the further damage to hedgerows and the overall general impact on wildlife in the area. I trust the above can be utilised in a positive manner to see the Sheffield Local Plan being altered with the removal of this thoughtless and needless part of the overall plan.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

To reconsider the draft plan. As for above reasons I believe the area is unsuitable for this type of development on the land.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

From:
To: SheffieldPlan

Cc:
Subject: FW: (Case Ref: ZA24884) Urgent correspondence from Office of Clive Betts MP

Date: 21 February 2023 15:57:04

Good afternoon,

This was sent to us late last night to be submitted as part of the draft local plan.

I have said that it would be submitted through our office late, but that we would still submit it. If it could be accepted that would be appreciated.

Best.

Michael Chilton

Constituency Assistant to Clive Betts MP

From: Georgia Millard

Sent: 21 February 2023 15:17

To: Clive Betts MP

Subject: Re: (Case Ref: ZA24884) Urgent correspondence from Office of Clive Betts MP

Afternoon.

Yes I sent it late apologies. I didn't realise the deadline was yesterday.

Kind Regards Georgia

On 21 Feb 2023, at 13:36, Clive Betts MP

wrote:

Afternoon Georgia,

Have you submitted these objections yourself?

We only received this email at 21:14 yesterday and I wasn't at my desk then as I usually finish around 5/6PM.

The deadline has now passed but if you could let me know urgently I will send this objection on as well and ask they accept it.

Best,

Michael Chilton

Constituency Assistant to Clive Betts MP

From: Georgia Millard

Sent: 20 February 2023 21:14

To: '

Subject: Objections to Draft Local Plan SES03

Dear Mr Betts,

Please find detailed below my objections to the above section SES03 of the Draft Local Plan from Sheffield City Council.

Name: Georgia Millard

Address:

Objections

- 1. The plan hasn't considered the already abysmal traffic situation in the surrounding area. Continuous development of commercial and industrial use, along with residential developments sees the roads within a mile circumference of the area of this proposed development at gridlock twice a day on working days and pretty much all day on Saturday. Further development as suggested in SES03 will only compound this matter.
- 2. The plan doesn't consider the addition to local pollution. Already in this area pollution levels are above those that SCC deem safe on a regular basis.
- 3. The plan doesn't consider the fact that there are high voltage overhead cables traversing the site with associated easements. These themselves could render the plan unfit for the suggested use
- 4. The plan doesn't consider that there is an underground high pressure gas mains pipe that traverses the site with associated easements. This could also render the plan unfit for the suggested use.
- 5. The plan doesn't consider the elevated nature of this site and that it is adjacent to residential dwellings. Any development for industrial or traveller use will be a blight on the outlook of these dwellings.
- 6. The plan doesn't consider the loss of green and open land used by many in the area for wellbeing and recreational use on a regular basis. As industrial, commercial and residential development has continued at pace in this part of Sheffield, the loss of green and open land has been just about catastrophic.
- 7. The plan doesn't consider the loss to local and migrating wildlife, the further damage to hedgerows and the overall general impact on wildlife in the area.
- I trust the above can be utilised in a positive manner to see the Sheffield Local Plan being altered with the removal of this thoughtless and needless part of the overall plan. Kind Regards

Georgia Millard

UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.@parliament.uk>@hotmail.com>

UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.