

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.224.001

What is your Name: Finade

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

████████████████████, these plans have a direct impact on me and our property. Our property was purchased ██████████ and checks made around planning permissions as we currently back on to a farmland field - primary driver of why we bought this house. We were told there would be no development on this site due to the high pressure gas pipe that runs across it.

At no time have we been approached before these draft plans have been made by the council or by our local councillors who supposedly represent this community to be advised of these plans.

The proposals are completely inappropriate, in terms of location:

1. You will be removing even more land that has already been subject to heavy industrialisation.
2. The road infrastructure is already congested with retail parks, and would require significant development. This development will generate additional vehicular activity in what is an already heavily trafficked area with tailbacks of traffic and congestion
3. Placing industrial and traveller site in a housing and urban community is just not an appropriate location
4. The cost of developing this infrastructure when there are so many other sites that could be developed across the North of the City - in S20 less than 1.1 miles - there is already a traveller site in the middle of an industrial site. Much better suited location as not in a housing estate, but why should the South East ward be housing another site for caravans and lorries?
5. What benefit is any of this adding to our community?
6. Increased noise levels

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Not completed by respondent

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Because the plans directly affect me. This is causing me great distress, and impacts on my mental health with the worry that this would just go ahead, with people who are making decisions on behalf of our community when they do not live here or are impact

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.224.002

What is your Name: Finade

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Site Reference: SES03 - Land to the east of Eckington Way, S20 1XE

We, Fiona and Adrian Hinson of [REDACTED] object to the proposed draft plans.

As residents of Springwell Grove directly impacted by these planned proposals, we were appalled to find out 'by chance' about the plans that directly impact us under SES03.

Our councillors for this ward have attended meetings on behalf of this community, but have done nothing to make us as residents directly impacted by these changes aware. I'm not sure how these councillors justify that they're acting in the best

interests and on behalf of our community, especially when Bob McCann left before voting started at the meeting on the 14th December.

That aside, this is our official representation to the objection of these plans.

We have also signed the e-petition that has been set up by local residents, which I believe now has over 1,000 signatures.

We object for the following reasons:

1. Development is being proposed on greenfield land, resulting in the loss of versatile agricultural land and the impact to wildlife which has already been affected with other industrial developments in this area
2. The proposed location is unacceptable due to the noise, and is already close to or in breach of air pollution in the locality of urban housing in a residential area. Any building and development on arable land worsens this position.
3. Potential for hazardous installation due to the high pressure gas pipe that runs across the site
4. What is the proposed cost of this in terms of investment to develop the infrastructures that are needed to support - vs what is the gain and benefit to this community? to me as a resident of Springwell Grove - specifically what is the benefit to me of these planned proposals? what's the cost / benefit analysis?
5. The traffic congestion on the B6053 Eckington Way / A57 is already at unacceptable levels, worsened with the development of the retail parks including the 'drive throughs' of Costa, Tim Hortons, McDonalds and KFC, plus the addition of Scarsdale Hundred, Papas and Burger King. The additions with these proposals worsen this without development, which then comes as cost and the additional disruption during any construction.
6. [REDACTED] residents especially, there would be a distinct lack of privacy and invasion of our Human Rights under Article 8 with the proposals and locality to the residential area. There is also the issue of the unsightly impact of this proposal.
7. The adequacy of parking / loading / turning and road access required - and the cost to put measures in place that are adequate - when there are other areas outside of the South East ward that could be considered
8. The fact that the Sheffield South East ward already has a traveller site located at Holbrook - 1.1 miles from these new proposals. It's fully appreciated the council have a legal obligation to make provisions, and this was less of an issue in that not in a residential area. However, why another in this ward? the council must surely have an obligation to consider other sites - such as Long Acres, Redmires Land - where they are well away from houses and more appropriate.

In addition to the listed objections above, and something I know that the council will not even take this into consideration for objections, is the impact that all of this has on our mental health, with the stress and worry of it. Until you are personally impacted then you are not in our shoes and this is not important - but this is a very important issue.

When we bought this house [REDACTED] we were told there was no planning permission for any building or development. The appeal of buying a property overlooking a field is what sold this for us, and assurance that due to the gas pipe running across the land there would be no development.

Now, this would be taken away by decisions being made out of our control. It's all very well people who sit and say they represent us and make decisions on our behalf when clearly it has no impact on them. There's no consideration or thought as to the real people this affects.

We also, have the privilege of paying Band E council tax, which is constantly on the increase, to now overlook an industrial and gypsy traveller site.

[REDACTED]
We will be requesting representation and along with other residents, expect to be kept updated of any deadline and meetings specific to this issue so that all our concerns are being fully heard and addressed.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Alternative sites need to be considered, where they are away from residential area.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Because of they direct impact they have [REDACTED]