

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.173.001

What is your Name: Amanda Ball

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

I strongly disagree with the proposed site for travellers/showman site for the following reasons:

1. [REDACTED] and strongly feel that it is not a suitable site as it is too close to a well developed residential area. The land behind Springwell Grove is green belt and is higher than the houses so therefore privacy for the residents and neighbours around the area would be compromised.
 2. I am also very concerned about the noise level and pollution that it would create by having an industrial site on the grounds. [REDACTED]
- [REDACTED]

3. My other concern is the amount of traffic in and around our area. The network can not cope with the high volumes of traffic. The opening of the new Birger King will also cause extra stress on the network too!! It is busy at all times of the day and often at weekends we have to leave our car at home due to traffic in/out of crystal Peaks!

4. [REDACTED] am now very concerned how this will affect the house prices in my area. Both myself and my husband have worked hard to purchase our property and feel if the plans were to go ahead you would jeopardise our future plans should we wish to sell our property.

5. There is already a travellers site very close to Springwell estate at Holbrook which is less than 2 miles away. I feel very strongly that others sites should be considered. I feel we have not had appropriate time to object as we were not informed about the plans correctly and this has caused myself and my family a lot of stress.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

I believe that other sites should be considered that are not in a direct residential area. Looking at traffic issues and no more development is made in our area.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

[REDACTED] this will affect me directly and my family.

From: [REDACTED]
To: [SheffieldPlan](#)
Subject: The Local Plan SES03 / Objections
Date: 26 February 2023 22:42:55

Having recently attended the local meeting and [REDACTED] I would like to raise the following points:

1. My main concern is the increase in traffic and air pollution. In and around Crystal Peaks is very congested especially at peaks times and at weekends. We normally leave our car at home when going shopping into Peaks as it is too busy to get in/out of the estate. [REDACTED]
2. How will a travellers site/gypsy camp fit into an already well established residential area. It is too close to houses and will increase noise level, privacy [REDACTED] The showmen's equipment will need to be regularly maintained. How does the council think this will be manageable without noise restrictions and air pollution.
3. Damage to the environment and wildlife already on the proposed site. How does this benefit the wildlife and green belt land.
4. We are already over subscribed to the local schools, doctors and dentist in our area. Bringing more families to the area will only make the situation worse and not fair on anyone.
5. All in all I do not find the proposed site is fit at all for either industry, travellers or gypsy camp due the points I have raised above. I am therefore asking that an alternative site/s should be considered.

Amanda Ball
[REDACTED]