## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.408.001

What is your Name: Trantion

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

#### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy T1: Enabling Sustainable Travel

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The strategy for active travel should include a strategy for a comprehensive network of cycle paths that an inexperienced cyclist can easily and safely use to get between any two reasonable destinations, using a mixture of cycle paths, segregated facilities and quiet roads. I often depend on my experience to know where the existing cycle infrastructure is and how to link it up, which can be off-putting to novices especially if they are afraid of cycling in traffic. This doesn't require major new cycle routes to all parts of the city, but building clear corridors. For example, there is no specific safe cycle route from Crookes to Broomhall, but from Broomhall the existing cycle paths and quiet roads lead towards The Moor, London Road & Samp; Nether Edge.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

If every local & Damp; district centre had a recommended cycle route to every adjacent centre, whether segregated from traffic entirely or on minor roads, this would provide a network people could follow and that can be advertised to encourage active travel, e.g. by improving signposts, publishing maps, or using existing online services such as OpenCycleMap or CycleStreets.

Example wording: 5.18 should be expanded or 5.19 added, e.g.

To facilitate longer distance active journeys, a network of safe footpaths & pour courses should be designated between local & pour centres, using a mixture of segregated paths and quiet roads. Once established, these routes should be publicised appropriately to help people new to active travel plan journeys.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.408.002

What is your Name: Trantion

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

#### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy T1: Enabling Sustainable Travel

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Plans should be made to reduce car ownership as well as journeys. The number of cars on the street has been steadily increasing for decades, as well getting larger. Parking is generally considered very bad in large areas of the city, and there's only so much that can be achieved by banning or restricting parking in specific locations. I believe that I could get rid of my car in the right circumstances, and so I assume there are other people in a similar position. The draft plan already includes improvements to active travel and public transport, but a local car club would mean people could have access to a car within walking distance when they need it. Incentives could be offered to encourage people to get rid of their cars, or they could

just be encouraged not to replace old cars and get rid of them gradually as the old car ages.

People may be worried that if they are dependent on a car club the prices could be increased to take advantage of a captive market, this could be mitigated if there were multiple car clubs in the city (although this causes its own problems due to taking extra space and requiring multiple memberships) or if the pricing is regulated (I don't know what the law allows here).

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Add a new point at the end of this section:

Car club spaces shall be provided in or near district and local centres, especially and/or initially in denser housing areas, to encourage households not to own private cars. It is recognised that usage may be low at first, but should be monitored and additional vehicles and/or spaces provided as demand increases. Non car ownership may be incentivised as the council deems appropriate.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

#### Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.408.003

What is your Name: Trantion

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

#### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy ES1: Measures Required to Achieve Net Zero Carbon Emissions in New Development

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

# Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Sheffield has a lot of houses over 100 years old that are difficult and/or expensive to retrofit to improve energy efficiency, particularly solid walled, terrace housing. Where houses are owned by landlords they have little incentive to improve energy efficiency, and owner occupiers will find it difficult to pay many thousands of pounds for improvements that can take decades to pay off. Without addressing heating emissions from these buildings it will be very difficult to reach net zero emissions.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Funding support will most likely need to come from the government, but the council should make plans in areas it controls and where coordination is needed on a medium scale. For example:

Where it is appropriate to install external wall insulation and if sufficient funding is available, the council could coordinate installation on terraces as a whole, which would preserve the appearence of the area better than if each house was insulated separately; as well as providing economies of scale.

Many houses in Sheffield may never be suitable for the insulation required to install heat pumps, but it may be possible to reduce emissions by extending the existing or adding new district heating networks.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A