

## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

### Respondent details

**Comment ID number:** PDSP.407.001

**What is your Name:** TPW1991

**If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:**

N/A

**If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:**

N/A

### Document

**Which document to you wish to make a representation on:**

Annex A: Site Allocations

**Which section of the document is your representation on:**

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

**Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:**

SES03

### Representation

**Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant:** Yes

**Do you consider the Local Plan is sound:** No

**Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate:** No

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:**

There is already far too much road traffic on Eckington Way, especially on Saturday's and Sunday's when Crystal Peaks Shopping Centre is busy. This development would add to this and negatively affect air quality and the general quality of life for residents in the vicinity. It will also create an eyesore in a prominent (top of a hill) location which will not be in-keeping with the character of the local area, and will eliminate one of the few wide-open spaces available within walking distance of Beighton village. In addition to this, the Crystal Peaks/Beighton area has already seen its fair share of substantial 'problem' sites added over the past couple of years, largely without open and honest consultation with local residents; the supported living flats on Sevenairs Road are a regular local issue, with Police turning up more or less

every day to deal with incidents taking place there, and the Scarsdale Hundred Wetherspoons pub just down the road from them has become a magnet of anti-social behaviour since opening in late 2021. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] Moreover, there is already a travellers site down the road at Holbrook...it is wholly inappropriate for the council to 'cluster' traveller sites in one area of Sheffield. The travellers site would be better placed elsewhere, away from an already well-established community, for [REDACTED]

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:**

Reallocate land elsewhere for the traveller site and industrial development in order to 'spread out' the 'negative' developments in a more fair and proportionate manner.

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED] |  
imagine there will be plenty of more suitable sites within the Sheffield City boundaries.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):**

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

A development which will have a largely negative impact on the area has once again been foisted onto Beighton with little attempt to engage with residents directly. It is only because a member of the local community went 'digging' and found the informatio