

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.399.001

What is your Name: TedRayner

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy NC7: Criteria for Assessing New Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

1. The plan hasn't considered the already abysmal traffic situation in the surrounding area. Continuous development of commercial and industrial use, along with residential developments sees the roads within a mile circumference of the area of this proposed development at gridlock twice a day on working days and pretty much all day on Saturday. Further development as suggested in SES03 will only compound this matter.
2. The plan doesn't consider the addition to local pollution. Already in this area pollution levels are above those that SCC deem safe on a regular basis.

3. The plan doesn't consider the fact that there are high voltage overhead cables traversing the site with associated easements. These themselves could render the plan unfit for the suggested use
 4. The plan doesn't consider that there is an underground high pressure gas mains pipe that traverses the site with associated easements. This could also render the plan unfit for the suggested use.
 5. The plan doesn't consider the elevated nature of this site and that it is adjacent to residential dwellings. Any development for industrial or traveller use will be a blight on the outlook of these dwellings.
 6. The plan doesn't consider the loss of green and open land used by many in the area for wellbeing and recreational use on a regular basis. As industrial, commercial and residential development has continued at pace in this part of Sheffield, the loss of green and open land has been just about catastrophic.
 7. The plan doesn't consider the loss to local and migrating wildlife, the further damage to hedgerows and the overall general impact on wildlife in the area.
- I trust the above can be utilised in a positive manner to see the Sheffield Local Plan being altered with the removal of this thoughtless and needless part of the overall plan.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Not completed by respondent

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A