

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.376.001

What is your Name: Sharon Griffiths

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy NC7: Criteria for Assessing New Gypsy and Traveller and Travelling Showpeople Sites

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Objection to Site ref SES03

I want to lodge my objections to site S2S03 in the local plan.

The site in question has been allocated for as an industrial site with a traveller/show people site at the Northern end of the site.

My main issue with this, which is shared by many people in the area, is the impact this will have on Eckington Way and the surrounding road network. Already there is significant amounts of traffic multiple times a day across the entire week. Any further

development in the immediate area is only going to compound this problem and make the traffic and congestion even worse for residents and users of the nearby retail sites. Effectively before any further development is made in the area a proper plan needs to be put in place to deal with the existing traffic issues. Council officers have already recognised these traffic flow problems and have indicated to me they need to be addressed. This should happen first before this site is allocated for development.

Given that there are already other business developments also going ahead such as a Burger King there is already going to be an increase in traffic so this will add further to an already expected increase.

Further, the site behind Springwell Grove is notably higher than the houses backing on to it. Any industry allocated on that site would be imposing for residents which I do not feel fair or appropriate. There is also a risk, due to this heightened elevation of the site, that residents risk losing their right to privacy which is also a concern that needs to be looked at.

Finally there are more suitable locations for both the industrial site and travellers site elsewhere in the city which would not be so close to a residential area. These should be looked at before placing the site above Springwell.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Not completed by respondent

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Not completed by respondent

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A