

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.355.001

What is your Name: rich147

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy T1: Enabling Sustainable Travel

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Re Part 1: Vision, Spacial Strategy etc:

p.97, sections 5.18 and 5.19: I note that, whilst there is good detail discussion about the importance of Rail, Tram and Bus service contributions to sustainable travel, Cycling gets just a one word mention, along with one mention of E-cargo bikes, and scooting none at all.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

I think it is essential to see sections 5.18 and 5.19 expanded and discussed in a similar manner to the other transport options, including information about the importance and range of initiatives that encourage the use of electrically assisted non vehicular travel, particularly E-bikes, alongside Rail, Tram and Bus options. For examples please see the following article, section 6: 'Potential initiatives to increase e-bike take up'

<https://www.urbantransportgroup.org/resources/types/report/fully-charged-powering-potential-e-bikes-city-regions> p25, section 6.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.355.002

What is your Name: rich147

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy CO1: Development and Trip Generation

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Chapter 7, A Connected City.

p.77: I fear the repeated use of the word 'should' compromises the aims of the Plan. I believe that to improve the chances of facilitating a Modal Shift toward Active Travel terms will have to be more mandatory, e.g.: using words like 'Must', 'It will be expected that' or 'There is a requirement that' as appropriate.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

With respect to the repeated use of the word 'should' I have suggested alternatives and additions to the original text, underlined in parentheses, after each word or section:

On p.77, section 7.6 - All developments should ('must', 'will be required to' or at the very least 'will be expected to') include provisions and incentives to increase sustainable and active travel and reduce reliance on the car.

On p.77, POLICY CO1: DEVELOPMENT AND TRIP GENERATION

New development should (will be required/expected to) support the delivery of net zero transport carbon emissions. Proposals should (will be expected to) prioritise travel by public transport, cycling, and walking and incorporate inclusive infrastructure which provides connections to and within the development. This should focus on making the most efficient use of existing highway, including where appropriate reallocation of space to more sustainable modes.

Also on p.77, POLICY CO1, add E-bikes to the 2nd paragraph:

"Provision will also be required to support the increased uptake of electric and zero emissions vehicles (and E-bikes).

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.355.003

What is your Name: rich147

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex B: Parking Guidelines

Which section of the document is your representation on:

N/A

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

I believe the plan needs to lay a clearer obligation on organisations and developers, going forward, to provide the relevant infrastructure and facilities to encourage the use of E-bikes for the kind of journeys for which they are ideally suited. (e.g. local 10 minute multi purpose journeys, up to and including longer cycle commutes and journeys of up to 30 mins each way, and local commercial deliveries).

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Annex B: Parking guidelines:

With respect to the repeated use of the word 'should' I have suggested alternatives and additions to the original text, in parentheses, after each word or section:

p.8 - Cycle Parking Developments will need to address the needs of both long stay (staff, residents) and short stay (visitor) cyclists. Allocated spaces for non-standard cycles should (must) also be provided. Cycle parking should (will) be secure, well overlooked (, lit), and within 20m of main entrances.

In order to be considered 'secure', parking related to residential development should (must) be in a secure building (with a roof) or a locker with an ability to lock the cycles to a fixture inside.

Where it is not possible to provide suitable visitor parking within the curtilage of a development or in a suitable location in the vicinity agreed by the planning authority, the planning authority may at their discretion instead accept, additional long-stay provision or, contributions to provide cycle parking in an appropriate location in the vicinity of the site.

Developers should (will be expected to) liaise with neighbouring premises and (must consult) the local planning authority to identify potential for off-site visitor cycle parking.

- Secure cycle lockers should (must) be provided for long stay cycle parking. Sheffield Stands (rather 'M' stands - see below*) should be provided for short stay and visitor parking.
- Short-stay cycle parking should (must) be available for shoppers, customers, messengers and other visitors to a site, and should (will) be convenient and readily accessible. Short-stay cycle parking should have step-free access and be located within 15 metres of the main site entrance, where possible.
- For both long-stay and short-stay parking, consideration should be given to (provision must be made for) providing spaces accessible to less conventional cycle types, such as tricycles, hand cycles, electric cycles, cargo cycles and cycles with trailers and other adapted cycles.

This should (will be expected to) include consideration (provision) of re-charging facilities for electric cycles.

- It is recommended that (Developers and organisations are required to ensure that) supporting facilities are provided at land uses where long stay cyclists require them, (i.e. places of employment). Supporting facilities include secure lockers, showers and changing/drying rooms.
- Where it is not possible to provide adequate cycle parking within residential dwellings, the City Council will engage with developers to propose innovative alternatives that meet the objectives of these standards. This may include options such as providing the required spaces in secure, conveniently located, on-street parking such as cycle hangars. Where there is a lack of space within the curtilage of the proposed development developers will be expected to contribute to the cost of providing cycle parking on the highway.
- Where cyclists share surfaces with pedestrians, the safety and accessibility of the environment for disabled and older people must be assured.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A