## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP. 293.001
What is your Name: Kathleen1992

## If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A
If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:
Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield
Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:
SES03

## Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No
Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No
Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

My main objection is the additional contribution to traffic, which is already significantly bad in the area. There are already more units being built near springwell such as Burger King which will also add to the traffic issues. Furthermore I don't think a travellers site so close to residents homes is acceptable

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

I believe there to be more suitable locations for an industrial site and travellers site. Preferably one that is further away from residential areas

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

