

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.300.001

What is your Name: L1969

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The proposed travels site on eckington road is a stupid idea we already have issues with the amount of traffic there is a site at tinsley that the council spent money on that wasn't getting used so why build another wasting more money

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

If this goes ahead I won't be paying my council taxes anymore and if my house devalues because of this I won't be happy I wouldn't of moved up here [REDACTED] if

I had known of your plans why do we only get to know a month before when apparently this has been 10 years in the making

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Not completed by respondent

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A