## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.288.001
What is your Name: Julie L
If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A
If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:
Annex A: Site Allocations
Which section of the document is your representation on:
Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield
Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:
SES03

## Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes
Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No
Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes
Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The proposed traveller site would be situated far too close to an housing estate, causing lack of privacy, devaluation of property and further problems with traffic issues that are already extremely bad. If the travellers are staying long term this will also cause further issues with local amenities i.e doctors, schools which already seem to be at capacity.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Other more appropriate sites, with better road links and not within close proximity to a housing estate should be considered

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)
If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

