Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.259.001

What is your Name: James 198

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: No

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

This site is unsuitable for both an industrial and traveller site for various reasons, and frankly the fact that it was consider in the 1st place is wrong and a testament to the continued failings of the council on multiple levels. I am fed up of the council letting its residents down by continuously making poor decisions. This city is becoming an embarrassment, and without the universities would in my opinion be in serious trouble. The site is too close to local residents, the traffic is appalling and the roads / infrastructure unsuitable to support any further development. The location significantly impacts on the residents in the area on both an economic and social level. The site has overhead cabling and underground gas pipes and provides a habitat to local wildlife (not just in the hedges!). There are many other more suitable

sites on the outskirts of the city (or other areas of the city - South East already has more than its fair share) that would provide a better solution for both industry and travellers. Please, seriously reconsider this proposal, don't let it be yet another black mark on SCC's continually dwindling reputation.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Consider alternate more suitable sites for both the industry and travellers, either away from residential areas on the outskirts of the city, or in another area of the city as the south east already more than its fair share of industrial and traveller sites.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A