

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.240.001

What is your Name: Graham

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The plan encroaches on green agricultural land at Beighton. We need to protect agricultural land to feed the nation. There are plenty of Brown Field sites that need developing in Sheffield without taking up Green Belt. Also there are plenty of industrial units that stand idle without building more on green fields.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Not completed by respondent

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Not completed by respondent

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.240.002

What is your Name: Graham

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES03

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Air quality in the area is already above the legal limit particularly on 2.5 PM. Increasing it further would adversely affect the health of local people and workers and would be illegal.

The local traffic infrastructure is not currently fit for purpose, with so many new developments nearby.

This is an elevated site and would not be appropriate above residential streets and would adversely affect the residents right to privacy.

Noise of heavy engines and work being undertaken could pose a disturbance to nearby residents.

Green land should be used for farming, to feed people. This sort of development should be using Brown Field sites, of which there are plenty in Sheffield. Compusory Purchase those that lay derelict and build there on Brown Field sites.

There are plenty of industrial sites nearby with occupied land and units eg Holbrook. They should be used before building more.

As the Plan points out there is a danger from the travellers' site being too near to an existing one, as potentially dangerous rivalries can occur and it may be that the Plan is not properly considering the welfare of Travelling people.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Take this proposal out of the Plan and rethink where the proposed Travellers site and Industrial units should be sited in order to meet the needs of Travelling people and local residents more appropriately as well as being legally compliant.

Currently the 2.5PM legal limit is 20ug/m³ for air quality in the UK (WHO suggest 5). Beighton is usually over 400% of this. Therefore adding to it would be illegal and presumably one of the reasons the City has introduced a Clean Air zone. This would be directly contradictory to that.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A