Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.001

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Foreword

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

It appears a schism has occurred between the SCC Issues & Deport [Sept 2020] and the publication of the Draft Local Plan. Terry Fox has proudly announced new concepts and forthcoming interventions such as '20-minute neighbourhoods' 'Climate Emergency' and Net Zero' policy despite not previously including these in SCC's Issues & Depois Consultation. These left-wing Marxist ideological concepts, are not universally accepted and have definitely not been introduced after gaining the consent of Sheffield people. The concept of there being a 'Climate Emergency' solely on the basis of man-made CO2 emissions [which represent 0.04% of total greenhouse gasses] means that if 'Net Zero' were to be achieved, [by destroying the lives and livelihoods of ordinary hard-working people],

there would only be another 99.16% of greenhouse gasses remaining to mitigate against. It's an absurdity to base land use planning policies on outright conjecture promoted by heavily vested interests.

Shoehorning '20-minute neighbourhoods' onto an already robust set of planning doctrines represents an overreach by SCC. The idea has manifested in recent years as part of a globalist movement to transform society into a utopian idyll, [sometimes referred to as the 'Great Reset' or '4th Industrial Revolution'], that many believe will end-up in a dystopian world, straight from the pages of Stalin's play-book. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' were championed by UN Agenda 2030 and The World Economic Forum [WEF] as a natural progression of Covid lockdown policies, under which fewer vehicular movements and the forced adherence of individuals to remain in their immediately habitable locality was imposed. Their enthusiasm for the concept can be seen here https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/11/15minute-city-falls-short/.

'15-20 minute neighbourhoods' are clearly viewed by some in a highly positive light. The fact that they are part of the bigger 'Smart City' agenda, alongside other measures such as Low Traffic Neighbourhoods [LTN's] and Clean Air Zones [CAZ] is disturbing to those who see them for what they are; a web of control, surveillance and forced conformity to globalist doctrines, conveniently controlled by unaccountable private sector partners and faceless council officials. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' and their link to 'Smart City' doctrine can be seen here: https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6511/4/1/6

The idea of breaking down the over-all 'Smart City' goal into easily digestible parts such as '20 Minute Neighbourhoods', in my opinion, is a shrewd attempt to make this unpalatable goal, palatable. No one is going to argue that being close to your amenities is a bad thing. However, over the last 2,000 years urbanisation has already naturally done this for us. 100 years of Town Planning and organic urban change through demand and supply and the economics of urban land allocation means that the actual concept of the '15-20 minute neighbourhoods is redundant'. It is only required by those seeking to introduce the 'Smart City' agenda and restrict movements using other policy concepts such as 'Net Zero' and 'Climate Emergency' based on skewed science and the globalist ideology of private interest groups seeking control and profit. The ordinary people living in existing communities will be the ones who suffer. Whether through applied behavioural psychology techniques, that the council freely admit should be used [See:

https://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=25264] or more direct methods like the CAZ charging scheme. People will not be allowed to travel freely; their costs will increase for even the most basic things and their individual horizons will be reduced to easily controlled and managed rabbit-hutch-style communes. In places where this type of policy has been rolled out such as Haringey, 75% of independent shop keepers were looking to move out of the area, showing us a good example of how; not learning the lessons of communism, will ruin your community.

https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-knell-for-independent-stores-and-cafes/675837.article or https://archive.is/kEVxx] The policy of achieving 'Net Zero' carbon by 2030 is an example of the council going further than their remit and pandering to an ideologically driven minority group. There is no legal requirement for the council to achieve 'Net Zero' or to place 2030 as a date for this to be achieved. The council has taken it upon itself to reach this conclusion based on ideological and political lobbying by vested interest groups in central government, local government, private sector and from environmental

groups. This is no small issue because it will affect every single household and business on a day-to-day basis, mainly financially; but also their freedom of movement, economic prospects and ability to thrive. The compliance measures necessary to achieve theoretical 'Net Zero' will run contrary to other policies in the Local Plan such as "reflecting the needs and aspirations of every person in the city, no matter who they are, where they live, or what stage they are at in their life". 'Net Zero' will affect Housing Policies, Industrial policies and especially Retail policies creating conditions, the complete opposite to those stated in the Local Pan; Vision Aims and Objectives."

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all policy objectives relating to "15 minute neighbourhoods" "Net Zero" and "Climate Emergency"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.002

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 1: Introduction - Previous consultation on the Sheffield Plan

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The introduction states "It is vital that the Sheffield Plan reflects the needs and aspirations of every person in the city, no matter who they are, where they live, or what stage they are at in their life." However, in section 1.32. it states "The comments made on the Issues and Options document have informed the Publication Draft version of the Sheffield Plan." It goes on to describe the themes of 'Climate Emergency' and 'Net Zero' both of which are not settled science. The corresponding potential mitigation measures will affect adversely, every inhabitant within the Local Plan Area. No impact assessment showing the clear cost-benefit analysis of these policy measures has been put forward by the council who bandy them around as if they were settled science and cast in concrete.

The policy of achieving 'Net Zero' carbon by 2030 is an example of the council going further than their remit and pandering to an ideologically driven minority group. There is no legal requirement for the council to achieve 'Net Zero' or to place 2030 as a date for this to be achieved. The council has taken it upon itself to reach this conclusion based on ideological and political lobbying by vested interest groups in central government, local government, private sector and from environmental groups. This is no small issue because it will affect every single household and business on a day-to-day basis, mainly financially; but also their freedom of movement, economic prospects and ability to thrive. The compliance measures necessary to achieve theoretical 'Net Zero' will run contrary to other policies in the Local Plan. 'Net Zero' will affect Housing Policies, Industrial policies and especially Retail policies creating conditions, the complete opposite to those stated in the Local Pan; Vision Aims and Objectives."

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to "Net Zero" and "Climate Emergency"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.003

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 2: Vision, Aims, and Objectives

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The policy of achieving 'Net Zero' carbon by 2030 is an example of the council going further than their remit and pandering to an ideologically driven minority group. There is no legal requirement for the council to achieve 'Net Zero' or to place 2030 as a date for this to be achieved. The council has taken it upon itself to reach this conclusion based on ideological and political lobbying by vested interest groups in central government, local government, private sector and from environmental groups. This is no small issue because it will affect every single household and business on a day-to-day basis, mainly financially; but also their freedom of movement, economic prospects and ability to thrive. The compliance measures necessary to achieve theoretical 'Net Zero' will run contrary to other policies in the

Local Plan such as 'reflecting the needs and aspirations of every person in the city, no matter who they are, where they live, or what stage they are at in their life'. 'Net Zero' will affect Housing Policies, Industrial Policies and especially Retail policies creating conditions, the complete opposite to those stated in the Local Pan; Vision Aims and Objectives.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to "Net Zero"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.004

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 3: Growth Plan and Spatial Strategy

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The policy of achieving 'Net Zero' carbon by 2030 is an example of the council going further than their remit and pandering to an ideologically driven minority group. There is no legal requirement for the council to achieve 'Net Zero' or to place 2030 as a date for this to be achieved. The council has taken it upon itself to reach this conclusion based on ideological and political lobbying by vested interest groups in central government, local government, private sector and from environmental groups. This is no small issue because it will affect every single household and business on a day-to-day basis, mainly financially; but also their freedom of movement, economic prospects and ability to thrive. The compliance measures necessary to achieve theoretical 'Net Zero' will run contrary to other policies in the

Local Plan such as 'reflecting the needs and aspirations of every person in the city, no matter who they are, where they live, or what stage they are at in their life'. 'Net Zero' will affect Housing Policies, Industrial Policies and especially Retail policies creating conditions, the complete opposite to those stated in the Local Pan; Vision Aims and Objectives.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to "Net Zero"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.005

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SP1: Overall Growth Plan

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The policy of achieving 'Net Zero' carbon by 2030 is an example of the council going further than their remit and pandering to an ideologically driven minority group. There is no legal requirement for the council to achieve 'Net Zero' or to place 2030 as a date for this to be achieved. The council has taken it upon itself to reach this conclusion based on ideological and political lobbying by vested interest groups in central government, local government, private sector and from environmental groups. This is no small issue because it will affect every single household and business on a day-to-day basis, mainly financially; but also their freedom of movement, economic prospects and ability to thrive. The compliance measures necessary to achieve theoretical 'Net Zero' will run contrary to other policies in the

Local Plan such as 'reflecting the needs and aspirations of every person in the city, no matter who they are, where they live, or what stage they are at in their life'. 'Net Zero' will affect Housing Policies, Industrial Policies and especially Retail policies creating conditions, the complete opposite to those stated in the Local Pan; Vision Aims and Objectives.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to "Net Zero"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.006

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SP2: Spatial Strategy

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Shoehorning '20-minute neighbourhoods' onto an already robust set of planning doctrines represents an overreach by SCC. The idea has manifested in recent years as part of a globalist movement to transform society into a utopian idyll, [sometimes referred to as the 'Great Reset' or '4th Industrial Revolution'], that many believe will end-up in a dystopian world straight off the pages of Stalin's play-book. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' were championed by UN Agenda 2030 and The World Economic Forum [WEF] as a natural progression of Covid lockdown policies, under which fewer vehicular movements and the forced adherence of individuals to remain in their immediately habitable locality was imposed. Their enthusiasm for the concept

can be seen here https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/11/15minute-city-falls-short/.

'15-20 minute neighbourhoods' are clearly viewed by some in a highly positive light. The fact that they are part of the bigger 'Smart City' agenda, alongside other measures such as Low Traffic Neighbourhoods [LTN's] and Clean Air Zones [CAZ] is disturbing to those who see them for what they are; a web of control, surveillance and forced conformity to globalist doctrines, conveniently controlled by unaccountable private sector partners and faceless council officials. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' and their link to 'Smart City' doctrine can be seen here https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6511/4/1/6

The idea of breaking down the over-all 'Smart City' goal into easily digestible parts such as '20 Minute Neighbourhoods', in my opinion, is a shrewd attempt to make their unpalatable goal, palatable. No one is going to argue that being close to your amenities is a bad thing. However, over the last 2,000 years urbanisation has already naturally done this for us. 100 years of Town Planning and organic urban change through demand and supply and the economics of urban land allocation means that the actual concept of the '15-20 minute neighbourhoods is redundant'. It is only required by those seeking to introduce the 'Smart City' agenda and restrict movements using other policy concepts such as 'Net Zero' and 'Climate Emergency' based on skewed science and the globalist ideology of private interest groups seeking control and profit. The ordinary people living in existing communities will be the ones who suffer. Whether though applied behavioural psychology techniques, that the council freely admit should be used [See

https://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=25264] or more direct methods like CAZ charging schemes. People will not be allowed to travel freely; their costs will increase for even the most basic things and their individual horizons will be reduced to easily controlled and managed rabbit-hutch style communes. In places where this type of policy has been rolled out such as Haringey, 75% of independent shop keepers were looking to move out of the area showing a good example of how; not learning the lessons of communism, will ruin your community https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-

https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-knell-for-independent-stores-and-cafes/675837.article or https://archive.is/kEVxx]

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to '20 Minute Neighbourhoods'

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.007

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SP3: The Hierarchy of Centres

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Shoehorning '20-minute neighbourhoods' onto an already robust set of planning doctrines represents an overreach by SCC. The idea has manifested in recent years as part of a globalist movement to transform society into a utopian idyll, [sometimes referred to as the 'Great Reset' or '4th Industrial Revolution'], that many believe will end-up in a dystopian world straight off the pages of Stalin's play-book. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' were championed by UN Agenda 2030 and The World Economic Forum [WEF] as a natural progression of Covid lockdown policies, under which fewer vehicular movements and the forced adherence of individuals to remain in their immediately habitable locality was imposed. Their enthusiasm for the concept

can be seen here https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/11/15minute-city-falls-short/.

'15-20 minute neighbourhoods' are clearly viewed by some in a highly positive light. The fact that they are part of the bigger 'Smart City' agenda, alongside other measures such as Low Traffic Neighbourhoods [LTN's] and Clean Air Zones [CAZ] is disturbing to those who see them for what they are; a web of control, surveillance and forced conformity to globalist doctrines, conveniently controlled by unaccountable private sector partners and faceless council officials. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' and their link to 'Smart City' doctrine can be seen here https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6511/4/1/6

The idea of breaking down the over-all 'Smart City' goal into easily digestible parts such as '20 Minute Neighbourhoods', in my opinion, is a shrewd attempt to make their unpalatable goal, palatable. No one is going to argue that being close to your amenities is a bad thing. However, over the last 2,000 years urbanisation has already naturally done this for us. 100 years of Town Planning and organic urban change through demand and supply and the economics of urban land allocation means that the actual concept of the '15-20 minute neighbourhoods is redundant'. It is only required by those seeking to introduce the 'Smart City' agenda and restrict movements using other policy concepts such as 'Net Zero' and 'Climate Emergency' based on skewed science and the globalist ideology of private interest groups seeking control and profit. The ordinary people living in existing communities will be the ones who suffer. Whether though applied behavioural psychology techniques, that the council freely admit should be used [See

https://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=25264] or more direct methods like CAZ charging schemes. People will not be allowed to travel freely; their costs will increase for even the most basic things and their individual horizons will be reduced to easily controlled and managed rabbit-hutch style communes. In places where this type of policy has been rolled out such as Haringey, 75% of independent shop keepers were looking to move out of the area showing a good example of how; not learning the lessons of communism, will ruin your community https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-

https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-knell-for-independent-stores-and-cafes/675837.article or https://archive.is/kEVxx]

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to "20 Minute Neighbourhoods"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.008

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA1: Central Sub-Area

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The adoption of the 'Clean Air Zone' in Sheffield is a worrying manifestation of Marxist policy implementation that appears prevalent across western democracies since the imposition of covid emergency mitigation measures in 2020. The roll-out of this and other similar policies will have an extremely detrimental effect on individuals and businesses who use the city centre area. Many small businesses and livelihoods who require transport will cease to be economically viable. Many shops and customer related services will have a dramatic change to their footfall and are likely to cease trading. The idea that this, in any way conforms to SCCs stated 'Vision Aims and Objectives' is ludicrous. Especially that of being a 'vibrant city centre'. People will just stop going there, that's the bottom line. For a Local Authority to

actually commit land use planning suicide in the city centre especially after SCCs utterly self-destructive incompetence in recent years, is unfathomable. As the old adage goes, its bums on seats that matter... that is unless your ideology seeks the complete destruction and rebuilding of society in the image of your own utopian dreams. Even if some of these are laudable, the amount of pain, misery and annihilation of people's lives and livelihoods is without comparison.

The similar ULEZ scheme in London shows that the stated reason for the charging zone, that of reducing emissions, has been shown to be marginal at best with a paper in 2021 stating; "Aggregating the responses across London, we find an average reduction of less than 3% for NO2 concentrations, and insignificant effects on O3 and PM2.5 concentrations." https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1748-9326/ac30c1/pdf

It is clear that the council members responsible and the officers who encouraged it, have a wider goal in mind which has passed under the watchful eye of public scrutiny.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove policy h) Adopt a Category 'C' charging Clean Air Zone within the Inner Ring Road and across the defined City Centre

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.009

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 1: Introduction to Part 2

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The introduction of Part 1 states "It is vital that the Sheffield Plan reflects the needs and aspirations of every person in the city, no matter who they are, where they live, or what stage they are at in their life." However, in the Introduction to Part 2 it goes on to describe the theme of "Climate Emergency" which is not settled science and the corresponding potential mitigation measures will affect adversely, every inhabitant within the Local Plan Area. We have no impact assessment of these policy measures by the council who bandy around 'Climate Emergency' as if it were settled science and cast in concrete.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to "Climate Emergency"

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.010

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 3: An Environmentally Sustainable City - Responding to the Climate Emergency

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The concepts of 'Climate Emergency' and man-made CO2 emissions leading to global warming is not settled science. Recent observations by one of the world's leading temperature sensing data centres at the University of Alabama show there has been no global warming since September 2014, 8 years 5 months and counting https://i0.wp.com/wattsupwiththat.com/wp-content/uploads/2023/02/image-3.png?w=940&ssl=1 That is despite an estimated 325-375bn tonnes of CO2 being released over the same period. Furthermore, historic natural warming of the planet has been gradual and manageable and appears to follow the rhythmic solar sun-cycles over time https://benthamopen.com/FULLTEXT/TOASCJ-11-44#F3 It has

also been observed that CO2 is a lagging indicator of global warming and not a cause of it https://cp.copernicus.org/articles/8/1213/2012/cp-8-1213-2012.html CO2 is the gas of live, without it everything dies. This shows the science in not settled by any stretch of the imagination. Climate mitigation measures may be necessary but man-made CO2 is not the proven culprit.

Basing land-use policies on a theoretical man-made 'Climate Emergency' and then "reducing the need to travel and promoting sustainable modes of travel by maintaining a compact city" [Section 3.2] requires people and businesses to be inordinately affected financially and also in terms of their time and everyday decision making. An impact assessment outlining the cost v benefits has not been produced to justify this.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all policy references and decision-making protocols relating to 'Climate Emergency' and 'Net Zero'

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.011

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 4: Thriving Neighbourhoods and Communities

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Shoehorning '20-minute neighbourhoods' onto an already robust set of planning doctrines represents an overreach by SCC. The idea has manifested in recent years as part of a globalist movement to transform society into a utopian idyll, [sometimes referred to as the 'Great Reset' or '4th Industrial Revolution'], that many believe will end-up in a dystopian world straight off the pages of Stalin's play-book. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' were championed by UN Agenda 2030 and The World Economic Forum [WEF] as a natural progression of Covid lockdown policies, under which fewer vehicular movements and the forced adherence of individuals to remain in their immediately habitable locality was imposed. Their enthusiasm for the concept

can be seen here https://www.weforum.org/agenda/2021/11/15minute-city-falls-short/.

'15-20 minute neighbourhoods' are clearly viewed by some in a highly positive light. The fact that they are part of the bigger 'Smart City' agenda, alongside other measures such as Low Traffic Neighbourhoods [LTN's] and Clean Air Zones [CAZ] is disturbing to those who see them for what they are; a web of control, surveillance and forced conformity to globalist doctrines, conveniently controlled by unaccountable private sector partners and faceless council officials. '15-20 minute neighbourhoods' and their link to 'Smart City' doctrine can be seen here https://www.mdpi.com/2624-6511/4/1/6

The idea of breaking down the over-all 'Smart City' goal into easily digestible parts such as '20 Minute Neighbourhoods', in my opinion, is a shrewd attempt to make their unpalatable goal, palatable. No one is going to argue that being close to your amenities is a bad thing. However, over the last 2,000 years urbanisation has already naturally done this for us. 100 years of Town Planning and organic urban change through demand and supply and the economics of urban land allocation means that the actual concept of the '15-20 minute neighbourhoods is redundant'. It is only required by those seeking to introduce the 'Smart City' agenda and restrict movements using other policy concepts such as 'Net Zero' and 'Climate Emergency' based on skewed science and the globalist ideology of private interest groups seeking control and profit. The ordinary people living in existing communities will be the ones who suffer. Whether though applied behavioural psychology techniques, that the council freely admit should be used [See

https://democracy.sheffield.gov.uk/mgAi.aspx?ID=25264] or more direct methods like CAZ charging schemes. People will not be allowed to travel freely; their costs will increase for even the most basic things and their individual horizons will be reduced to easily controlled and managed rabbit-hutch style communes. In places where this type of policy has been rolled out such as Haringey, 75% of independent shop keepers were looking to move out of the area showing a good example of how; not learning the lessons of communism, will ruin your community https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-

https://www.thegrocer.co.uk/second-opinion/low-traffic-neighbourhoods-are-a-death-knell-for-independent-stores-and-cafes/675837.article or https://archive.is/kEVxx]

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to '20 Minute Neighbourhoods'

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.012

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 7: A Connected City

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

There are many studies citing the potential dangers of the mass rollout of 5G within the urban areas. Some of these dangers have been conveniently outlined in a Derbyshire County Council Report which stated: "Research has shown that RF-EMF can affect the body in different ways. The three main mechanisms reported within ICNIRP guidelines are nerve stimulations, cell membrane permeabilization and temperature elevation:

Nerve stimulations occurs when electrical fields stimulate the nerves, possibly causing a tingling effect. This effect occurs at frequencies lower than the 5G range. Cell membrane permeabilization is an increase in the rate at which molecules diffuse through the cell membrane. Cell membrane permeabilization has been shown to

occur at frequencies within the 5G range; however, the levels of exposure were significantly higher

than those recommended by ICNIRP.

Temperature elevation occurs when energy from RF-EMF is transferred to the body; this is thought to be the primary interaction between 5G frequencies and the body. When the body is exposed to RF-EMF fields some of the energy can be transferred into the body, which may lead to heating of body tissues. Frequencies between 30-300GHz (5G range) are less penetrating and absorbed by superficial tissues such as the skin. This absorption can cause localised temperature rises, which could lead to an increase in core temperatures. Below the ICNIRP recommended exposure levels, temperature increase are very small and the human body's thermoregulation process is thought to control increases.

Other possible mechanisms suggested in the literature are:

Gene expressions: This is a process required to produce proteins within cells. Reviews of the evidence present mix findings, with some experimental studies in cells indicating that exposure to frequencies over 30GHz could produce changes in gene expression.

Oxidative stress: This is a result of excess 'oxygen radicals' being produced within cells, exposure to ionising radiation is known to cause this. Experimental studies in cells using frequencies below 5G have caused this effect.

The five mechanisms described above are thought to affect biological processes that may lead to disease states. Reviews on the effects of RF-EMF (these are not specific to 5G frequencies) have reported the following:

o Cancer: The International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) classified all RF-EMF as potentially carcinogenic grouped into the same category as talcum powder, petrol engine exhaust and Aloe Vera Extracts. Some researchers believe this should be changed to

carcinogenic 12

o Fertility: Epidemiological studies and experimental studies have found some negative associations between RF-EMF and sperm quality, but there are no strong association below recommended levels and at the frequencies of 5G.

o Electrosensitivity: This is classified as a variety of non-specific symptoms which individuals attribute exposure to RF-EMF. Symptoms vary widely and include nausea, dizziness, burning sensations, and tingling effects. The included articles suggest this is a controversial

topic; the AGNIR reports that there is increasing evidence that RF-EMF below the INCIRP guidelines does not cause symptoms and cannot be detected by individuals. o Ocular damage/effects: It has been suggested that the eyes may at risk of harm from the possible heating effects of 5G technology due to the inability to dissipate heat as well as the skin. International guidelines state that there are no effects under ICNIRP recommended levels. Studies in animals have demonstrated mixed results and has been suggested more evidence is needed.

o Non cancer morbidity:

https://observatory.derbyshire.gov.uk/wp-

content/uploads/reports/documents/health/specialist_reports_and_assessments/201 9/5G_Technology_Health_Impacts_Evidence%20Summary2019.pdf

This information is sufficient to determine that not enough independent research has been carried out to determine the long-term effects of exposure to high levels of background EMF radiation and thus the use of such technologies should be halted. Due to its mass roll-out the council should be extremely cautious and follow the

precautionary principle at all times. It is worth mentioning that the council officers and members have a legal duty of care to the inhabitants of Sheffield. Should this technology be proven unsafe they may be held individually liable for their decision making.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Remove all references to 5G telecommunications infrastructure pending further robust fully independent studies proving its safety.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.222.013

What is your Name: Dystopia247

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Glossary

Which section of the document is your representation on:

N/A

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

20-minute neighbourhood

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The following definitions have been omitted: 20-minute neighbourhoods' Category 'C' charging Clean Air Zone

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Include the following definitions: 20-minute neighbourhoods' Category 'C' charging Clean Air Zone If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: