

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.185.001

What is your Name: Ascreenname

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy D1: Design Principles and Priorities

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

It is unsound because there is no requirement for rainwater recycling and water run off for all new buildings therefore each new building will contribute to increased flood risk and demand on water supply networks and drainage systems.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

I am not legally qualified to comment on compliance or similar I was brought to this page trying to complete the Survey
<https://haveyoursaysheffield.uk.engagementhq.com/draft-local->

plan?tool=survey_tool#tool_tab and suspect there is a flaw in the design of the website and this is not actually the survey. If this is not the survey, please do not disregard this response but put it on the survey responses.

The plan needs modification because it claims to be benefiting the community and environment yet it fails to mandate that all new buildings or major changes to building must have rainwater recycling and run off provision. Also it fails to mandate environmentally beneficial design and building. Any new buildings which don't incorporate rainwater recycling are increasing run-off speed and run-off thereby increasing flood risks which is immediately contrary to what is written about benefiting the environment and reducing flooding. If buildings are not designed, incorporating but not limited to sustainable energy production and consumption, and built with minimal environmental impact this is contrary to long term community and environmental well-being.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A