

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.176.001

What is your Name: AndrewR

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy CA1: Kelham Island, Neepsend, Philadelphia, Woodside

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

I previously submitted a representation expecting to be taken to a page to note my comments, but it turns out the survey ends here. So trying again: I haven't read the full Plan to comment in detail, but I would like to see a focus on facilitating more walking and cycling, including mundane things like prioritising clearing major walking and cycling routes (of snow, ice or leaves) over doing the same for highways: Too often I find myself walking in the road which has been cleared or gritted because the adjacent pavement hasn't been similarly cleared.

Also one quite specific item I'd like to see mentioned: Connectivity across the river and railway lines is often poor, and prioritises motor transport over walking and cycling. In particular I highlight Corporation street leading to Bridgehouses

roundabout which is very hostile to pedestrians and cyclists (no cycle lane, and most-used pavement on west side of bridge is very narrow and the fronts of large vehicles turning there can overhang the pavement - very intimidating) - barely room to pass other oncoming pedestrians before even taking account of such 'overhanging' traffic (also consider wing mirrors potentially striking pedestrians).

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Not completed by respondent

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.176.002

What is your Name: AndrewR

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

N/A

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy CA2: Castlegate, West Bar, The Wicker, and Victoria

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

I don't have enough knowledge to answer questions 5, 6, 7 but I assume the Plan will have been put together mindful of these obligations

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Not completed by respondent

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A