

## Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

### Respondent details

**Comment ID number:** PDSP.171.001

**What is your Name:** aly1

**If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:**

N/A

**If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:**

N/A

### Document

**Which document to you wish to make a representation on:**

Annex A: Site Allocations

**Which section of the document is your representation on:**

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

**Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:**

SES03

### Representation

**Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant:** No

**Do you consider the Local Plan is sound:** No

**Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate:** No

**Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:**

The site is inappropriate in an area with existing massive traffic congestion & the close proximity to housing. In addition Dourh East Sheffield already has another site within 1.9 miles! This site in proximity to the Halfway site seems wholly inappropriate. These sites need to be placed to enable communities to spread the burden on schools/Drs and other local services. What account has been taken of this?

**Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:**

I believe there should be no further development on this site until the road infrastructure ( which is already under severe pressure with a Burger King yet to be opened) & importantly the public health & social services available have been properly evaluated and communicated to residents. Also the council should explain to residents why 2 traveller sites in such close proximity are being recommended & why other options have been rejected.

**If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):**

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

**If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

I wish to hear & better understand the rationale for the proposals. I also wish to set out my serious concerns about the choice of the site.