

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.129.001

What is your Name: Philip99

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sheffield Conservation Advisory Goup

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 2: Vision, Aims, and Objectives

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

While welcoming the preparation of a Local Plan for Sheffield, SCAG was concerned that although the city's heritage are referred to in passing within the plan, there is no general statement of the significance of the richness of Sheffield's heritage in the built and natural environments for the city's distinctiveness and its economy.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

SCAG make the following observations in detail about the ways in which the Local Plan might be modified:

- Heritage Character – There was a failure to recognise the heritage and value of waterways and biodiversity and the possibility of conflict between GS policies and the protection of waterways. The mention of water-powered sites and of Sheffield metal trades buildings, some of which are unique to Sheffield, should be made more explicit. A consideration of the appropriateness of a suggested use for metal trade buildings should be included.
- Areas of Special Character – These are protected in the existing Plan but have disappeared in the new draft Plan. It is important to recognise that the identification of areas for protection is an ongoing process and areas that might be suitable for future designation as Conservation Areas should be indicated within the Local Plan.
- Tall Buildings – There should be some reference to how sustainability can be achieved in the development of tall buildings. Tall building zones should be identified. The reference to a tall building on Milton Street as a gateway structure needs more explanation.
- Sustainability – This should be included as one of the tests of soundness.
- Local Heritage List – A paragraph on this should be included and a policy statement developed

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

Yes, I wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

To exemplify in detail the general observations made above.