

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.092.001

What is your Name: Andy C

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Yellow Arch Studios

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy DE9: Development and Heritage Assets

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: No

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

There should be provision for the proactive identification and designation of new Conservation Areas in order to comply with the Planning (Listed Buildings and Conservation Areas) Act 1990 s69(1). In particular, the Castlegate area, already identified by the Council as of special architectural or historic interest and appraised for designation, should be designated, and its proposed designation reflected in the plan. Policy DE9 calls for the conservation and sustainable use of heritage assets, including those which are locally

listed or non-designated. The policy makes no provision for around forty Areas of Special Character (ASC)

which since 1998 have been identified and protected in the Unitary Development Plan for their special

architectural or historic interest. These areas are non-designated heritage assets and merit assessment for

designation as Conservation Areas, although this has not been done for the great majority of ASCs.

Removing their protection is a retrograde step in the protection of the city's historic environment.

Although local listing is referred to there is no provision for the creation, maintenance or expansion of the

Local Heritage List and no description of its importance. Modifications are needed in these respects to make

sufficient provision for the conservation and enhancement of the natural, built and historic environment

(NPPF 20(d)) and ensure that developments are sympathetic to all aspects of local character and history

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Policy DE9 or other relevant policies should be amended so as to maintain protection for Areas of Special

Character; provide for the creation, maintenance and expansion of the Local Heritage List; and proactively

identify and designate new Conservation Areas, including Castlegate.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A