

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.103.001

What is your Name: PeteB1951

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Friends of Parkwood Springs

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 2: Vision, Aims, and Objectives

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

We agree with the representation of the Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust that the draft plan does not follow the Natural England Green Infrastructure Framework. It does not include a Green Network map nor does it include the Nature Recovery Network.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

We agree with the suggestions of the Sheffield and Rotherham Wildlife Trust as to how the draft Plan can be amended to correct its current unsound state.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.103.002

What is your Name: PeteB1951

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Friends of Parkwood Springs

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA2: Northwest Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

NWS02

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

NWS02 includes part of the Parkwood Springs Local Wildlife Site, the allocation is incompatible with LWS policies.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

NWS02 – remove small portion of Parkwood Springs Local Wildlife Site from allocated site as incompatible with LWS policies.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A

Representation on the Sheffield Plan Publication (Pre-Submission) Draft

Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.103.003

What is your Name: PeteB1951

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Friends of Parkwood Springs

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA2: Northwest Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

NWS26

Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Note the online form incorrectly labels this section as NWS26
NWS29 – The Parkwood Springs Local Wildlife Site is within the red line boundary.
The site allocation is incompatible with LWS policies

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

NWS29 – remove Parkwood Springs LWS from red line boundary as incompatible with LWS policies

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

N/A