## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.001

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 2: Vision, Aims, and Objectives

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Sheffield Plan Aims and Objectives - Sport England broadly supports the Sheffield Plan objectives.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.002

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 3: Growth Plan and Spatial Strategy

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

3. Growth Plan and Spatial Strategy - Sport England supports the priority to support distinctive and thriving District Centres and Local Centres that act as a focus for the development of a network of '20-minute neighbourhoods' across the city; and avoid harm to, and enhance, Sheffield's distinctive environmental assets and green

infrastructure and mitigate any adverse environmental impacts (where they are justified due to the need to meet other social or economic objectives).

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.003

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SP1: Overall Growth Plan

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY SP1: OVERALL GROWTH PLAN - Sport England broadly supports POLICY SP1 and in particular paragraph (L) Protection, management, and enhancement of designated blue and green infrastructure sites and assets. With a focus on the Green Network (including the Local Nature Recovery Network) and designated Urban Greenspace Zones.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.004

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 4: Sheffield's Sub-Area Strategy

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Risks not being compliant with NPPF paragraph 99.

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Paragraph 4.63. Sport England is concerned about the impact on the playing field in the Don Valley Bowl from the aspiration to create the Advanced Manufacturing Innovation District (AMID). Any policies for this area need to ensure protection of the playing field and ensure that dev elopement does not encroach nor have a prejudicial impact on the playing field.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.005

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 5: Topic Policies

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Paragraph 5.11. Sport England is a strong advocate for "Active Design". It takes a fresh look at the opportunities to encourage and promote sport and physical activity through the design and layout of our built environment to support a step change towards healthier and more active lifestyles. Sport England broadly supports policies

that promote active travel and active design. Such initiatives enable people to lead more active lifestyles and achieve better health outcomes.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.006

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy BG1: Blue & Green Infrastructure

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY BG1 - BLUE AND GREEN INFRASTRUCTURE. Sport England broadly supports policy BG1 and acknowledges that playing fields form a valuable part of green infrastructure.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.007

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 1: Vision, Spatial Strategy, Sub-Area Policies and Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy IN1: Infrastructure Provision

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to take account of and be informed by its own evidence

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY IN1 - INFRASTRUCTURE PROVISION. Sport England broadly supports Policy IN1, Sport England supports the provision of sufficient sports facilities to meet the forecast demand arising from planned housing and economic growth. It also needs to meet the needs of respective sports that are played in the City. It also needs to be informed by the Councils Playing Pitch Strategy adopted in September

2022. Sport England would welcome additional text in the policy and supporting text to reference the Sheffield Playing Pitch Strategy.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.008

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 4: Thriving Neighbourhoods and Communities

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Policy approach is not informed by the Council's own evidence

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

4.52 Sport England welcomes references to Sheffield's Playing Pitch Strategy (PPS) in this paragraph but the policies and supporting text completely lack any further references to securing the actions set out in the PPS. It is therefore very unclear how the PPS will be used to inform decisions on planning proposals. A policy is

missing to set out the Councils approach to the provision o sports facilities to meet the needs and demands set out in the PPS.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.009

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy NC1: Principles Guiding the Development of Strategic Housing Sites

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

## Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY NC1: PRINCIPLES GUIDING THE DEVELOPMENT OF STRATEGIC HOUSING SITES. Sport England supports the provision of 'active design' and active travel, co-location of community facilities, and provision of multi-functional and strategically planned network of high-quality green spaces.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.010

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy NC15: Creating Open Space in Residential Developments

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to take account of the Councils own evidence

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY NC15: CREATING OPEN SPACE IN RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENTS. It's not clear where and by what means additional sports pitches and playing fields would be created to meet the needs of new development. Table 2 suggests the policy applies to recreation facilities, but this policy should be informed by the needs

set out in the Sheffield Playing Pitch Strategy and not by a standards based approach. The policy needs revision.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.011

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy CO1: Development and Trip Generation

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY CO1: DEVELOPMENT AND TRIP GENERATION. Sport England broadly supports the policy approach to secure active travel/design and the relationships of this to people's health.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.012

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy CO2: Parking Provision in New Development

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY CO2: PARKING PROVISION IN NEW DEVELOPMENT. Sport England supports the approach to secure appropriate cycle parking in new developments.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.013

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy GS1: Development in Urban Green Space Zones

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

The policy is not informed by the Councils evidence from its Playing Pitch Strategy

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY GS1: DEVELOPMENT IN URBAN GREEN SPACE ZONES – Sport England supports the protection of urban greenspace zones, but playing fields and sports pitches should be provided in accordance with the needs and demands set out in the Sheffield Playing Pitch Strategy and not by a standards based approach. Therefore the policy needs rewording.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.014

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy DE3: Public Realm and Landscape Design

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

POLICY DE3: PUBLIC REALM AND LANDSCAPE DESIGN. Sport England broadly supports designing public realm, paces and spaces that encourage active and healthy lifestyles that ensure that opportunities are created for both active and passive recreation through the provision of vibrant and quieter spaces, however

paragraph (a) should apply everywhere and not just in urban areas. Therefore the policy needs rewording.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.015

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Part 2: Development Management Policies and Implementation

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Chapter 12: Monitoring

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

N/A

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

12. MONITORING - Sport England is concerned that there are no monitoring indicators in relation to sport and leisure, protection of playing fields and sports facilities, actions from the Playing Pitch Strategy or active design/active travel and would welcome revisions to the indicators to secure such.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.016

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA3: Northeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

NES13

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: The site lies adjacent playing fields play area floodlit multi use games areas and bowling greens. Allocation of the site for housing needs to consider the likelihood for any prejudicial impact of housing on the existing sports facilities and playing fields if mitigation is required it should be provided at the developers expense as part of the planning proposal. There is potential for nuisance on residential

developments in this area close to the multi use games area (which is floodlit) and sports pitches. The impact of development on the playing field and sports facilities needs to be considered in respect of paragraphs 99 and 187 of the NPPF.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.017

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA3: Northeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

NES22

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: The site lies adjacent to a playing field the proposed allocation needs to ensure that it would not have a prejudicial impact on the playing field. Any risk of balls leaving the field of play on the playing field and landing in the development site need to be considered. If any ball stop mitigation is required it needs to be provided in perpetuity at the developers expense. There is also the likelihood of any noise or

nuisance from use of the adjoining playing field site having an impact on the development of the site identified for potential allocation, this must be considered.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.018

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

## Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA3: Northeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

NES28

### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: The site lies adjacent playing fields. Allocation of this site for housing needs to consider the relationship between the site and the Plainfield site and ensure that in bringing the site forward for housing there's no prejudicial impact on the playing field site this needs to be considered in respect of paragraphs 99 and 187 of the NPPF.

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

### Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.019

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA4: East Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

**ES18** 

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Allocation as an employment site. Adjacent Tinsley Park Golf Course. Where any development of this site needs to consider the risk of ball strike from golf balls from the Tinsley park golf course. Any development proposal must ensure but there is no prejudicial impact of the development on the sports facility. It needs to consider if there any is any risk of ball strike from golf balls and if required the

developer needs to provide mitigation to prevent balls leaving the golf course and landing in the development site.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.020

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA4: East Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

**ES25** 

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to comply with the NPPF

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Sport England object to the site allocation. Known former Playing fields – cricket pitch, football, 2 bowling greens, MUGA's. Playing field and sports facilities have protection under paragraph 99 of the NPPF, and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy Exception E4, and should not be built on unless replaced prior to loss occurring.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.021

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES13

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: the site lies to the South of a playing field site. The land immediately to the north contains a football pitch and these clusters are playing field. Any development of this site needs to consider any prejudicial impact on the use of the site to the north as a playing field. It also needs to consider if there is any need for any ball stop mitigation to prevent balls leaving a playing field and landing in the

development site. The site is open space it clearly may provide an opportunity for the council to meet some of its needs identified in the recently adopted Playing Pitch Strategy as additional pitch space for sports. The council should use its own evidence to inform this site allocation consideration process. prejudicial impact needs to be considered in respect to paragraph 187 of the NPPF.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.022

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES15

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: The site contains sports facilities and lies adjacent to a school playing field. The loss of any sports facility needs to be considered in respect of paragraph 99 of the NPPF. The site lies adjacent to the Prince Edward Primary School playing fields and the development would need to ensure that it does not prejudice the use of the playing field (paragraph 187 NPPF) development of site needs to consider the

need for any ball stop fencing to protect balls from leaving the playing fields on the Prince Edward Primary School and landing in the development site. Any mitigation needs to be covered at the developers' expense and as part of any planning proposals going forward.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.023

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA5: Southeast Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SES27

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to comply with the NPPF

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Sport England object to the site allocation. Site is part of a sports club where the loss could affect the sports club and prejudice its use. Development site lies adjacent to sports pitches where assessment of a proposal needs to consider the sports club and sports pitches as adjoining site uses, also needs to consider any risk of ball strike and the need for ball strike mitigation as part of a development

proposal. Any development of the site needs to consider the impact of the proposal in respective paragraph 99 of the NPPF and paragraph 187.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.024

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA6: South Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SS01

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to comply with NPPF

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Former school site with playing field – school demolished between 2002 and 2007. Sport England object to the site allocation. Playing field has protection under paragraph 99 of the NPPF, and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy Exception E4, and should not be built on unless replaced.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

### Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.025

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA6: South Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

**SS06** 

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Adjacent Lees Hall Golf Course. Where any development of this site needs to consider the risk of ball strike from golf balls from the golf course. Any development proposal must ensure but there is no prejudicial impact of the development on the sports facility. It needs to consider if there any is any risk of ball

strike from golf balls and if required the developer needs to provide mitigation to prevent balls leaving the golf course and landing in the development site.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

# Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.026

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA6: South Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SS18

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Not completed by respondent

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to comply with the NPPF

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: The site contains a demolished school with former playing field, school demolished between 2002 and 2005. As a playing field site the site has protection under paragraph 99 of the NPPF and under Sport England's playing fields policy. The playing field should not be built on unless it is replaced in accordance with those policies, replacement provided prior to the loss. The pitch is still marked with goal

posts, therefore consultation with Sport England would be on a statutory basis at the planning application stage.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.027

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA7: Southwest Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

**SWS10** 

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: The site lies adjacent to school playing fields and Carter Knowle Park. There is the potential for allocation of this site 2/2 have a prejudicial impact on the playing field. Potential allocation of the site needs to be considered in respect of the adjoining playing field to ensure that there is no risk of prejudicial development from development site on the playing field. Development of such a site needs to consider

the relationship between the two sites and if any mitigation is required such as ball stop mitigation or acoustic fencing, if mitigation is required then the developer should provide this mitigation as part of any planning proposal

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

## Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.028

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA7: Southwest Sheffield

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

**SWS11** 

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: No

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Fails to comply with the NPPF

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Sport England object to the site allocation. Loss of tennis courts and lies adjacent playing pitches. Loss of a sports facility, including part of a playing field and tennis courts. Playing field and courts have protection under paragraph 99 of the NPPF, and Sport England's Playing Fields Policy Exception E4, and should not be built on unless replaced prior to the loss occurring. Potential for development of the

site to prejudice the use of the adjoining sports club, paragraph 187 of the NPPF applies.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary:

### Respondent details

Comment ID number: PDSP.007.029

What is your Name: JanetBSportEngland

If you are making this representation as a member of an organisation, what is the name of your organisation:

Sport England

If you or your organisation are making a representation on behalf of another person, organisation or group, please tell us who it is and its role:

N/A

### Document

Which document to you wish to make a representation on:

Annex A: Site Allocations

Which section of the document is your representation on:

Policy SA8: Stocksbridge/Deepcar

Which paragraph/site/map layer of the document is representation on:

SD11

#### Representation

Do you consider the Local Plan is legally compliant: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan is sound: Yes

Do you consider the Local Plan complies with the duty to co-operate: Yes

Please give details of why you consider the Local Plan is not legally compliant or is unsound or fails to comply with the duty to co-operate:

Not completed by respondent

Please set out the modification(s) you consider necessary to make the Local Plan legally compliant and sound, in respect of any legal compliance or soundness matters you have identified above:

Comments: Adjacent Tennis/ Bowls Club. Development of the site must not prejudice the use of the adjoining sports facilities. Paragraph 99 and 187 of the NPPF apply.

If your representation is seeking a modification to the plan, do you consider it necessary to participate in examination hearing session(s):

No, I do not wish to participate in hearing session(s)

If you wish to participate in the hearing session(s), please outline why you consider this to be necessary: